mood, confesses to purchasing from Hawkins a bit of brass guaranteed to be "from an old and aristocratic Creole family."

So hypnotized was he by the ancestral glories described by the eloquent vendor, that he hardly eximined the article until he eached home, where he liscovered himself to be he unwitting possessor of 1 somewhat battered cusvidor.

Despite some unlovely seculiarities, among them a pronounced antipathy to oap and water, Hawkins ould be kind-hearted and generous. He it was who pefriended Lafcadio Hearn before the latter's genius and become manifest, gave he young man a room, ood, and, above all, hopeul encouragement. Others nav subsequently have lent

helping hand, but old lawkins was the first. Eviently he possessed a flair

or quality in men as well as things. "The eighties and nineties were his great days," says 1rs. Shackleton. "The name is now no more, though all Royal treet owes something to the Hawkins tradition. I think I should ave liked his shabby shop and should have enjoyed a tilt gith him in buying."

BRITANNIA WARE (c. 1857-1864)

At the left, small teapot on whose base is soldered a disc bearing the arms of Massachusetts (mark shown below). At the right, tea or coffeepot, stamped on the base Morey and Smith, Warranted, Boston. The handles of these pieces are adorned with a similar "tear-drop" device. Question as to the possible identity of authorship of the two pieces is discussed in the accompanying text. From the collection of J. G. Braecklein

By way of suggesting an answer, Mr. Braecklein points out that the "tear drop" which forms a decorative cresting on the

handle of his diminutive Massachusetts arms teapot is identical with that appearing on the handle of a large utensil on whose base is stamped the firm name of Morey and Smith. These gentlemen, it will be remembered, were, from 1857 to 1864, conducting a business that, under various changing titles, had been in operation in Boston since 1841 (see ANTIQUES for May 1926). They were, Mr. Braecklein further observes, the only eastern pewterers to employ what he terms the "tear-drop" motive.

> Furthermore, since it is unlikely that any but a New England manufacturer would have adopted the Massachusetts seal as

a trade emblem, he concludes that Morey and Smith were the makers of his teapot and candlestick, as well as of any similarly

adorned specimens yet to be discovered. This is sound reasoning, except for the premise that Morey and Smith, and their predecessors back to Smith and Morey, were unquestionably the sole Massachusetts pewterers to employ the tear-drop motive. Of all the diverse designs turned out by Gleason and by Reed and Barton, to name only two among many eastern manufacturers, so few have been published that no positive inferences may be drawn as to what is and what is not

to be found in the eastern output.

To the westward, both Sellew and Homans of Cincinnati employed the tear drop. We must therefore be wary about

accepting the thesis that this form is, or was, an exclusive insignia in any locality. Only a thorough rummaging of pewter shelves in house and shop alike and the resultant failure to find anywhere an eastern tear untraceable to Morey and Smith will supply the mass of negative evidence essential to a positive opinion.

It is in the hope of stimulating such an upheaval of Victorian treasure that the Attic has stated at length Mr. Braecklein's perplexity. Perhaps, indeed, some one may unearth a piece of metal ware bearing not only the Massachusetts disc, but the name of the maker as well.

A Question of Evidence

A suggestion of interest to those who concern themselves ith pewter and britannia ware of the Victorian era comes from . G. Braecklein of Kansas City, Kansas, whose article on Ohio nd Missouri pewterers was published in AxTIQUES for October 928. Of late, Mr. Braecklein's curiosity has been piqued by the

iscovery, in Bethel, Ohio, f a britannia-ware candletick on whose base, as sole such mark, is soldered a isc ornamented with a cat version of the Massanusetts State arms. In altimore he found a small apot bearing the same evice. The latter piece nd its mark are here picired. It is, of course, unecessary to add that no onnection can possibly sist between this late ms motive and the quite fferent treatment found plates by the mysterius post-Revolutionary ustin of Boston. All the ore reason for enquiring ho can have adopted the nior emblem during the riod of the 1850's.



